

## **The Judah Kissing of the Cross**

In recent months the leader of the Italian populist party, now Italy biggest party, has begun to publicly kiss the cross he carries in his pocket. The kissing of the cross represents a stand this populist politician takes against the liberal Europeans willing to abolish the cross (e.g. from classrooms) and willing to introduce a multi-culturalism which in turn has only resulted in a bi-polarism made of locals and the immigrant groups, predominantly Arabic, not so willing to integrate with them.

The right and the left has thus entranced themselves in very obstinate views which seem to have no way out especially onto a more reasonable ground. The kissing of the cross I find particularly disturbing as it comes to represents a deep misunderstanding of what Jesus, the man on the cross, was. Beyond then from siding for the right or the left, as I both find them quite destructive in their obstinacies, let's think of the figure of Jesus as rather an admonition towards the rise of empires.

At the time Jesus was born, many were the cynics and the stoics reflecting on a period of great political transformation, a period in which the Roman republic turned into an empire. Now let's make this analogy here and perhaps side for the cynic philosophers under which I also place Jesus, and reflect no longer in left and right terms but in terms of who is creating the new empire with all the catastrophic changes that this size of power will eventually imply.

So if we like Cato Uticensis find our mission into blocking the monstrous rise of imperialism, who are we really to vote for considering the geopolitical reshuffling of the world geography. The main issue remains and it is fundamental. As long as great empires like China exists, everyone else on the planet ought to counterbalance them. On the continental level then not only North America but also Europe is forced to become a big fish to stand against the unnatural imperialistic mindset of China.

If China would break apart in all its nations that central China is oppressing under its wings, perhaps other big empires would not be needed. Once this would happen the world community should always be on the watch out that no more imperialistic formations are attempted. This is only an ideal I am proposing as human nature as such always finds within a generation a way to set the new path for a new empire, the culmination human greediness letting loose all other vices, ultimately rage. Ironically, paths to imperialism are most likely to be undertaken by those who have been harshly advocating against them from the very beginning.

So coming back to the national level, or to Italy as a country what hopes does it have? Yes there is a necessity to create Europe. This creation however has shown the

impossibility to first create a European soul before completely changing its face following up the left politics of mass integration of asylum and pseudo asylum seekers. Europe has such in its first decades of existence needed far more exchanges and activities of intercommunication among its countries. These countries should level out all their national interests bringing all its citizens into sharing and appreciating the richness and variety the old continent had to offer.

If the crossing of cultures have not occurred at the political level it did somehow unofficially thanks to open minded folk following their intuition and open mindedness to merge together with other cultures and people of the continent. These poets have perhaps place the seed of hope which may perhaps germinate once again under the ashes of a possible Third World War.

Europe from its creation should have avoided the ugly redistribution of wealth that have now seen the southern countries completely emptied out not only of their capital but also of their human capital, the best of their youth all migrating north. The result is an ugly south which much remind the North America southern confederation, a deep south then that might loose its war with the north and just become a Florida, or better a leisure land stroke by hurricanes and occasionally visited by tourists and with only an old aging population settled there.

All this might as well be, however there is a risk, an this is the fear brought forth by the left implicit sort of populism. The risk is that there will be a period to come in which a national and religious traditional framework will be brought back particularly in the south. I am quite amazed also with myself and with my Italian acquaintances to notice what a temptations this is. At last a small empire, at last order after so much chaos and frivolous discussions. At last someone who tells us what is good and bad and what we ought to think and how we ought to act.

I think that yes, despotism may bring some mental peace of mind not having to hear all these daily political debates but the issue truly is that once again even a country itself is too much of a large construct which disable people from discussing and debating at a smaller level, reaching wise conclusions without much mass. I am convinced that the national machines themselves are too big an obsolete. I am not sure however whether any machine can work in the long run without causing much troubles. But think about the carnival of death that any despotic regime brings with it. Are we really so tempted about large shining missiles and the strong man with the uniform who perhaps is so weak within that spends sleepless nights and eventually presses the button of self-destruction?

It is true that technology holds us all together as in a big global village yet how can the individual willing break through all these imperialistic constructs? How can we get rid of one hand the sadistic temptation of the strong man and on the other the hippy belief of a happy unified society? If we go right what we will get is a small empire and if we go left what we get is a bigger empire. Both results in empires and both are to obstruct our instinctual initiative or at least mine. I cannot see myself pursuing what I am doing within either forces yet in the debate and clash of these forces I might indeed be able to set my ideals forward. These ideals are most cynic and stoic and self-reliant in a way that, not as much as an anarchist as I fear power vacuums as they constitute much bigger threats, I do believe we ought to keep leaving and accepting the wrong doing of human nature yet providing a virtuous example always ready for partisan war when necessary.

So radical have all political voices become that really there is no escape. So mainstream they have become with their corroboration like parties on top of which we now have as we have had in other dangerous times, we now have aspiring princes. Let's enjoy this moment of freedom before more dogma and censorship will come to being and let's make sure we do our work well and make sure we infiltrate it and being forward to those future generations who are to live enslaved without knowing it. Let's not kiss any cross but rather think of how single men have managed in a similar period of time to put the Roman Empire in a moral crisis. Let's consider also and always how this very empire breaking moralism has in turn created a new empire. I guess this it the biggest of lessons.