

The Semi-divine Tradition of Acknowledgment

As the world is becoming once again imperialistic in the sense that more and more we relay onto single prince-like figures bringing apparent order to a state of chaos, as this process is visibly occurring, I believe that it is more relevant to think of our mortality and in particular of the mortality of our own goods. In this sense to me it is rather paradoxical that humans resort to emperors in order to protect their properties and their wordily rights.

What is paradoxical is in fact that in the very moment humans resort to an emperor to stand for their fatherland, automatically a process begins in which these very humans and their lands are more or less wiped out. I would even dare to say that nationalism as such is just the confirmation of a collective and imminent self-destruction, a destruction in which new people with new properties will swipe in.

My point is here very simple. Why is one so eager to attach himself to wordily goods when we are but mortal? Do we really believe in a long lasting legacy? I am not here trying to say that there shouldn't be any private properties and we should rather live collectively and so forth. I am just saying that there ought to be a spirit of acceptance towards what I believe to be a natural evolvement even when one think of human societies.

Human societies do age, societies do corrupt themselves. The successful economic situation of a certain society is by far a blessing. A society keeps living in poverty and frugality and when it gets its turn there is an economic boosting which in reality consumes its very human resources. This human consumption leads to a vacuum which opens up for a shift that the residual humans of the old society tries to oppose to, eventually resorting to forms of fanaticism in order to reinvigorate themselves.

In the moment of debilitation the humans component of a fading society can in fact decide to fight the inevitable change or simply recover oneself, retreating into a spiritual/natural domain where the burnt out resources I believe can be reobtain at least for the upcoming generations. In order to do so it is vital to step out from the fading society and especially his nationalistic haste towards self-destruction. To me now this process is quite clear so as it is clear how humans do not want to let go to a power they can perform within their private or, ironically speaking public properties.

Fusing the old with the new would be the natural swift in accordance to the natural evolvement yet humans most resent this. It was not always the case in the classic history. There are several examples of fusion, of a more natural transformation even of the social domain. The intolerance towards this transformation is very dangerous for the

simple reason that it suffocates the offspring within it, it truncates a possibility for it to develop by imposing a set of dogmas.

Now I have the strong suspicion that the media of mass/social communication adopted by more evolved societies have in fact a much stronger impact in turning a part of their social members more radicalized into conservatives traditions, in the aforementioned dogmas. This is particular the case for the more marginalized parts of society who cannot wish any growth within its cemented cell in which it is confined. In this respect in a fading society where the economic recession increases radicalization, much harder it is indeed the idea of fusion with the new.

In the fading society to survive one is therefore obliged to transcend the very traditions projected onto him or her. I am not only talking of religion here but anything that smells like a set of social values. One is to drastically oppose to them and yet in order to do so it is often the case that one ought to set out to develop his or her own tradition, more in line with his or her own nature rather than a generalized set of values to keep all social members under the same dominant ideology.

What I am suggesting here is something more Stoic and that is not to follow any prince but ourselves become princes of our own natural domain with very little or zero expectation for any share of the social power cake. I think it is in principle the suggestion of becoming rightful gods of earth with an only constant awareness for our mortality. While social members then act like wining humans believing to be immortal we ought to attempt to become like gods constantly reminded of our mortality.

As mortal gods we ought to acknowledge the constant changes and transformation of our nature. Our tradition is simply only a set to acknowledge change rather than a set to hinder it. So be it, be it the divine tradition of ours, that of a small star firmly acknowledging the turmoil below us, acknowledging yet and yet again humans mistaking in their believe of being immortal, showing eventually our firmness to them, ultimately our firmness to be able to switch off without much complains.

There is nothing we can oppose against a wining population immortalizing themselves behind the strong image of a dictator. This is human nature, it is the nature of a society rediscovering vigour, a vigour transmitted and projected onto a one human that will inevitably bring the whole population to a complete destruction. Why not rediscovering and projecting this very vigour onto our own selves? Why compromise our freedom to be enslaved to the will of a single man?

Moreover can we not abstain from the sadistic pleasure of living in a strong autocratic state? My answer is yes and that is by being ourselves firm in a directive principle, by

being ourselves determined in the blossoming of our natural will. Let's abstain now from the obstinate scrimages and fully set ourselves to blossom, wither and regenerate into a new offspring, our only true hope.