

The Exceptional Defeatist

The modern way of thinking tends to associate different human behaviours with specific labels. If a person in this respect is not acting normal, he or she is immediately given a label such as autistic, compulsive, bipolar and so forth. By being given a label such a person is immediately prompt to act out the very characteristics under which he or she is expected to act.

Yet out of all this scientific obsession to label even our own human malfunctions so many are the nuances and the stories we hear of folk claiming: "Yes, I was diagnosed with leuchemia and yet I kept living and they still don't know what I have but they keep me monitored". Labels in this respect can only but work as prejudices applied as conventions onto people.

As new technological paradigms also turn previous accredited knowledge completely relative once again, the very application of these scientific labels become fully irrelevant despite being increasingly utilized by common people. My point here is perhaps that out of all theses symptoms we so arrogantly use believing to have a full control of the situation, out of all this may lay symptoms that sum up but the state of our collectiveness at large.

All these abnormality then presented in the normalized social environment may only be an indicator of the unnatural path we are undertaking adopting more and more sophisticated ways to maintain control, or at least to have a sense or a feeling that we are in control of the overall human condition and beyond.

Yet my point here is that out of all these abnormalities emerging from the unnatural undergoing social normalization, out of all these labels we come to misjudge those individuals who have in fact taken a different path, the path most conformed to his or her nature, becoming thus some for of super humans or shamans who could in fact guide humanity or at least some humans out of the collective unnatural path.

Now society has obviously also found a label for these exceptional humans as well and that is that of the defeatist. They went as far as linking this syndrome to psychological pessimism and so forth. I believe in this respect that if we are even unable to listen to people who are detached from the system and can see it critically from the outside we are doomed to follow our blind technological destiny which does not take in to account the limitness of our material resources and the unlimitness of our spiritual resources.

Society has been always confronted with individuals who brought forward the naked truth, who have been mirroring back onto society its very image without all the royal

philanthropic costume, the branding it so much embellish itself with. These individuals have never been celebrated but quite the contrary they have been scorned to begin with and, if they have gotten to serious, they have been decapitated.

The faith of those who have been attempting to concisely reproduce the state of our human condition has been always inevitably the same. It has always been a a full commitment without glory and a certain death. Yet this very commitment has worked as a mitigator of many a human souls joining up to create large and self-destructive entities, from small family establishment to large social establishment, all equally charged with wordily ambitions which can only come true by way of stepping over other establishments.

In this deadly chain of events, now that even more then ever the single individual can grow into an establishment and I in fact incited by society to do so, I believe in the spirit of renunciation and in the spirit of establishing more than ever our own human soul renouncing any form of greediness, only humbly acknowledging our human condition confining the whole of our operation on earth exclusively to that and the transmission of our life meditation onto the humans of the future.

I doubt that this can be achieved collectively as long as humanity is encapsulated in a in vitro manner by a tighter social apparatus. There is no single element of anarchism in my thinking; history has repetitively shown to us that we, the extraordinary defeatists ought to simply do our duty of accounting on the human condition without even the ambition of setting up a large scale coupe. The confrontation with our mirroring operation (not the bright technological mirror but a mirror provided by the very opacity of the human soul turned naked), this very confrontation suffice in generating personal discomfort and the awake of existential questions put forever appease by the social comodification/numbification of the human soul.

And yet we will keep on labeling and will keep on preventing the natural emergence of these human monitors, this prophet-like figures that has a meaning far beyond psychoanalytic consideration unaware of our cosmic evolution and the sort of natural way in which nature itself without the altering influence of social schemes provides the very characters needed to redirect a human community going astray. The more humans go onto their astraying path the more I believe the particularity of new unexpected natural characters will emerge. The more a society will try to repress their emergence the harder it will be hit by their operation. This is simply the law of nature beyond the conventional understanding that civilized humans have created on themselves. As history will keep on showing us, the defeat of these small individuals is the great defeat of human societies.