

## **On the Danger of any Ideology**

Beyond any technologically deterministic mindset yet coinciding with the digital era, planet earth has experienced some kind of an extended Arab spring not so much occurring alone in Arab states failing to attempt to revolt against semi-authoritarian regimes. The Arab spring has pretty much occurred within Western countries as well.

I am not referring here to the sort of solitary rampages of the Muslim radical communities against the corrupted westerners with several recurrent deadly attacks, I am mostly referring to the revolt brought forth by actual westerners against the west, a male white west which is now wanted to be kept under a burka. My provocation is plain and simple, what is the difference between the Arab male population wanting to put a burka on their female population and the western female population wanting to put a burka on their male population?

I am not talking here about an actual physical burka that is placed on the much hated western white man, I am talking perhaps more about a mental burka that any western man that wants to live and function within a society will have to apply to his brain in order not to commit any crime under what I once thought to be a neo-christian ideology but now I feel ever more convinced to be a neo-muslim one.

Rather than to proceed in our secular trajectory all the enraged movements against any standing white man are setting forces to impose some kind of dogmatic religion of rules and rituals which everyone will have to comply with, as some sort of horse blinders which will have to be applied from the very start to all social members as well as a noseband and glasses not to be able to distinguish what it is obvious.

While classic education was then established to be able to differentiate the elements of reality, the education under the new regime will teach to confuse and neglect any difference for the sake of difference. It is an education of confusion which will but only plant seeds of relativism in the soul of pupils which in such folly will never be able to even distinguish the four elements because they are told that fire is also water and water in fact is air and so forth. Ethically speaking also they will not be able to identify somewhat of a principle without at last having to borrow one.

In all the weakness that will emerge within all these new enforced religion ahead, yet another religion of victimhood, the actual real persecution might in fact start big time and real brutal faces will not hesitate to appear and to take advantage of such destitute state. The so called victims then will truly experience martyrdom and the path to freedom will be buried for centuries, replaced by a much greater oppression than the mere psychological distress that we so much inflict to one another.

My worry is that in all these battles for rights advocacy we have reach a stage that is rather abstract, that does no longer have its feet on the ground. We then seek all the many imaginary clouds we have created in our overly sophisticated culture, we seek for these clouds also some rights and even want these rights to prevail over the most basic and necessary ones.

What I am here claiming is that somewhat freedom was reached and a further propagation of freedom in those more abstract and confused realms will in fact come to undermine freedom as such. Generally speaking, any person with an aspiration to share his or her love with the world will have to be pretty much channeled in this vortex of hate and indignation set forward by the dominant ideologies, ideologies or mere protest a protest just resembling the juvenile act of breaking what the elders have established, to reform it, sanitize it, make it political correct to the extreme.

The tightening grip as history has shown, will not but produce new forms of injustices, unthinkable new tyrannies, real conflicts in which not those who have initiated but the ones with some common sense will have to step in as the new partisans sacrificing their lives to rescue life from such a deadly entanglement. What western society is aiming at it is not the perfectly just society but a scheme of justness that in order to be executed will require its police, its law-makers, its judges and its authorities. As Isaiah Berlin already, any such scheme is the perfect formula for bloodshed.

Even if for one single generation this scheme of great justness could uphold, we must realize that the very machine that has been set up will sooner or later be used for other purposes. The more this machine will penetrate the conduct of each individual, the more this very conduct can be corrected today and controlled tomorrow. What I do not agree with is not the idea that there should be diversity, diversity is life. I do not agree with any government managed diversity as this very management is death.

The life of any individual as a natural tendency to diversity, an organic diversity so much already hindered by governance setting up borders and restrictions. These fictitious limitations, right from here we ought to work to create diversity. Real diversity ought to emerge from an undermining of nations, of these very ideas that in fact annihilate humans natural propensity to smoothen even the toughest natural borders, to intermix and exchange local cultures.

Now the very idea that there should be a government, the very government that to begin with undermine diversity to take care of diversity, to manage it to me is most fake and reluctant and will only bring a very unnatural placement of black, yellow, white and red next to one another, colours that without any governance would anyway manage to

more naturally come together than finding their much segregated and isolated and sealed positions from within these social mechanisms only seeking for some sort of official representation.

So needless to say the only true and possible and syncretic diversity going beyond all the enforcements brought forward by an increasingly Muslim donkey state is the one occurring off its radar, in unforeseen zones created by god knows what kind of shadows, for anyway as long as these shadows may last. Any institution attempting to use their rationale to put up their own diversity only to comply with the new neo-muslim ethic cannot but put together elements that are forced to cooperate rather than allowing this collaboration to spark from the ground, a ground most obstructed by arbitrary divides.

Now what we ought to seek is a sort of demonotheization and deimperialification of our cultures. This cannot be done on a large scale. On a large scale great and terrifying event will anyway occur. What ought to be done is rather within our local domain also as some kind of rescue points for when the regimental collapse will take place. It is perhaps pointless to admonish on the danger of imposing whatever ideological scheme with the idea that it will resolve all issues, even the unforeseen ones that the actual implementation of such schemes will inevitably create. It is inevitable to show and proof that this enforced schemes will bring blood and out of this blood humanity will just be an uglier one.

So far the sketchiness of post-modernism has saved us to some degree from further dangerous ideological constructions but ought of this rather epicurean moment of history new requirements are perpetrating with much energy, the energy of youngsters willing to set up a more fair and less dissoluted order. Perhaps my only input here is that this order can be found only with personal examples and a consensus of actual examples of a youth dedicated to a new restoration of humanity with the divine nature. My conviction is that any attempt to impose large scale schemes dictated by whatever enraging ideology will but bring humanity further away from the fragile link left to recover its naturality and with it its common sense.