

## **On the Non-puritan Approach to Autonomy**

If it is true that the techno-system dominating our lives is bringing not only us humans but especially our fragile eco-system, our mother nature down a dangerous path, why are we not directly criticizing and re-evaluating it? Moreover why are we so focused and obsessed on debating leftist issues when there are everywhere around us signs that life on earth is already compromised?

Moreover could be that these leftist issues might in fact make the techno and industrialist and capitalist and nature destroying system even more powerful? In short, is it true that our society is very inclusive and favors unimportant issues that sees a possibility for it to enlarge and even thrives with always new consumers and always new trends but it is not in favor and even brutally rejects any possibility to rediscuss its industrial foundation?

In other words it is surprising that, with clear signs that something is utterly wrong with our planet and that the use of modern technologies is much behind it, it is surprising to debate on how to make the system safer and more inclusive. If it is true then that we are a sinking boat, it is also true that it is impossible for most of its crew to acknowledge it or even debate it. Planet earth used to float around using the force the universe had endowed it with, this until humans took control of it.

Humans themselves is also not the problem. We humans also used to live in full autonomy without any form of far away governance stirring us. And yet also our very nature is sinking and we become most troubled souls from the very moment strings are attached to us and we become mere marionettes. In this living leashed we cannot but, deep within us, revolt. What is left of our wild and most recondite nature revolts. Yet the system provides us with ways, narcotics to put this wild nature of ours forever asleep and comply, comply.

Then yes, the system is most happy to have us all debating on how to make the environment safer, which only turns the system itself bigger and more powerful, thus avoiding the crisis that its unnatural position holds. How does the system avoid inevitable crisis? Altering and manipulating our very human nature, bringing us in the opposite direction of what and where our own nature would bring us. It is in this respect that it is most utterly important to be scorned as individualists and egoists but in reality be able to subtract ourselves from the system and cultivate our nature.

This glimpse into our nature, this glimpse into common sense is our salvation especially now that the so called industrial system has breached into the last bastion of nature, our own human nature. As no longer the environment around us but also our very

selves are being exploited, as freedom becomes considerably less, the solution is not to go even further in limiting it by making the environment safer, the solution is in fact to get back in control of our natures and rebuild our bastions and in this sense also our common sense and autonomy.

No doubt in our advanced technological system, a system getting ready to use the supernatural powers of artificial intelligence, no doubt that our own human intelligence will be completely wiped out and we will find ourselves more and more enslaved within frameworks that the systems have already projected on us. No doubt the immense effort to constitute our own autonomous framework is the key to not only maintain our humanity but also to challenge the automated and large-scale frameworks which will be enforced on everyone, limiting then not only our bodies in space but especially our minds in time.

This is already happening, we are already worked in nice and appealing frameworks in exchange of some hedonistic treat, whether derived from our sexual appeal or merely from our professional pride. The collective solutions to the natural disasters ahead of us cannot escape this most hedonistic mindset. We are therefore doomed to collapse once again into a dark age, an age which could be in fact most enlightening to bring about newer and more genuine and human-scaled forms of social organizations.

By now, as the technology amplified powers of governance creep in anything that has to do with life itself and the very cultivating of our own human natures completely entrusted on institutional dogmas which in turn, turns us into most standardized and mono-cultivated individuals who only have diversity and tolerance in their mouths, by now we ought to take up a policy of non-compliance. This is however per se not sufficient, along with it as I said, we ought to as well invest our souls in the creation of autonomous entities.

Only because these autonomous entities are there, only because of our existence as non-compliant individuals who maintains their autonomy the system is and will not be able to set forth is totalitarian plan. This is not a matter of political choice, or whether we are rightists or leftists or moderate. All politics are moving towards one direction; they are in the hands of the very technological apparatus they think using while in reality they are only disclosing its full potential.

There is nothing really radical one ought to do but to follow his own intuition, to really dig into it, to push it forward and awake among the dozing flock following the robot shepherd down the cliff, making the very cliff break with their heavy weight and crowdedness and loudness. All that is awaiting us is radioactive waste. The industrial

society has being most blasphemous in breaking the seals of the most sacred of our human nature.

Yes there are no gods, nor religions but let's be true to our ancient feelings, nature is a holy matter and the technological advancement is breaking through it more and more with its arrogant scientific reasoning which in the long run will leave us most deserted and dried up. Our nobility consists in not allowing this clear cut of our own nature, in finding our own way to interact with the wild without any puritan approach to it, which is also the other most unfeasible extreme.

The trick here is that the maintenance of our nature is not in the hands of a system that clear cut it for us nor in the hands of an ideology telling us we humans are banned from nature because we are evil. The trick is that we, as in the old days can manage what we can, using our own hands and this will always guarantee a level of moderation, then without the use of fully automated machines but only of machines which guarantee we are the main players.

As long as we are the players of the maintenance of our own nature there is no risk that even the organization behind the production of these machines will take over us. It can fully take over us once we give up this maintenance and just fully rely on machines that do not make use of us. Perhaps this approach of active resistance is then more effective than that of luddites. Importantly however there is the necessity to keep up the culture for this autonomous maintenance of our nature and be able to spread it and be of an example for others both in the present and in the future.

The more the system might act against our autonomous example, the more we have the chance in fact to turn into martyrs and be able to spread the message even further. This is but an assumption, something one ought to experiment with at a time in which it is harder and harder to find even the space to begin to constitute our autonomy. If this is a trend however, a trend in which every single inch is colonized by large organizations (whether political or commercial or academic) what is however the future for the generation to come?

If it is feasible for us to somehow cope with the system and be able to tailor within it a space for our autonomy the question rises as to whether this privilege can be also guaranteed to our children. Yes, while the level of permissiveness broadens and they can change sex as they please and try out different kinds of micro-doses of whatever drug their possibility to have their own framework of autonomy is narrowing considerably. They will have the feeling they live in a highly liberal society but in fact every single movement of their bodies and brains will be fully monitored and controlled and this

also to improve the safety they themselves demand for the system as a symptom of their lack of human will.

My suggestion is then to begin working on this will, to begin working on the autonomy of the new generation so much bound to frameworks, no matter our liberal and tolerant and democratic these may seem. Let them rather develop their own but alas this is a most scary thought, a thought that stick up the little hairs left on the head of whatever politician, no matter how leftist he may try to portrait himself.

Out of all this struggle to come the one and only way to recover a ground in which to be able to perform and mature our autonomy is nature itself, a nature that is so heavily jeopardized by not so much the small technology but the big technology of jurassic organizations. What is debatable is how radical one ought to be in getting rid of the technology we need to maintain our autonomy, whether for example there could be forms of integrating the trajectories proposed by anarcho-primitivists with those proposed by anarcho-syndacalists, meaning that there can still be a degree of production for those technologies and only for those technologies that humans need in order to keep up with their autonomous frameworks, like a small farm or a life in a forest.

The above proposition to combine a return to nature with the maintenance of an industry that is only meant for this scope, is particularly important today in a time in which all natural resources on earth has been in one way or another compromised. It does require individuals to contribute to some extent to some sort of production with all the issues that this implies but for this reason these very producers can begin designing more longer-lasting and simpler products not only meant to sell and to bound consumers to a whole range of services.

The demolishing of all forms of hierarchies and the uprooting of all boundaries might be a distant dream which only the natural and disastrous collapse of the industrial system will bring about. By now it is essential to begin the preparation of our autonomy both a physical autonomy as well as an intellectual one, this in a time in which the system is de facto making us ever more dependent to it. We ought to be dependent of only our independence and much scorned and laughed keep up with our vision.