

The Blending of Europe

In some of his political writings Friedrich Nietzsche suggests the idea of a European race. Now he is by far thinking in Nazi terms: Nietzsche saw the necessity for a Jewish race within the European race as a way to maintain the Western identity of the latter, an identity so often in history threatened by the East. Well I guess that Nazism and his horrific cleansing machine has only contributed into turning us if not Eastern, middle-Eastern.

What Nietzsche claimed was the affinity between Jewish and the ancient Greek culture, thus the very source of Western culture. To some extent then ironically the European project could have only taken place with the presence of the Jewish community so naturally distributed and embedded in Europe. Possibly even Jews themselves were the paste that kept Europe together despite of course the most orthodox and fanatic tendencies of Judaism which in fact has only provided good excuse for anti-semitic sentiments.

Now that the almost entire Jewish population has been wiped out of Europe the new scapegoat have become the new immigrant Muslims. They too as Jewish did have enclaved themselves and at least ethnically they seem not willing to blend with the local European communities as much as the latter don't want to blend with them. The Jewish problem so much infecting the European media of the 19th century has now been simply replaced with the Muslim problem. This very problem has by far galvanized so much the European project that there are now obvious signs of reestablishing the old national borders.

In this respect we are about to rewind history of a hundred year as nations themselves do not go further back. Let's look a Germany or Italy or Spain and the idea we have them as a single unified nations. It is a pure construction, a forced one in which many reality must coexist and must be leveled to one another. The stepping back to nation realms in my opinion is thus a willing for Europeans to at least keep their national identity without being now further leveled to a continental identity.

Yet again what identity are we really talking about? The spaghetti we eat in the deep south, the same exact spaghetti we can eat in Cape North. I think really it is not a matter of preserving such a fictitious idea of a national identity. That's also very much a construction as the black shirts and the leather boots and have been during Fascism. I think however at the bottom of the senses so much provoking Europeans to vote for the return to nations is simply based on the different levels they have been trained to tolerate others.

Southerners have a hard time to tolerate homosexuals while Northerners have hard time to tolerate personal favours. Certain levels that European politics coming to being has touched upon have deeply touched the indignation of different people. The media has certainly fomented this. Images of thousands of migrants crossing the borders of Europe have certainly shocked many non-ideologically educated people. It is difficult to play the role of a king supporting a new crowd when this very crowd strip him of all his clothes.

Vanity and pride has thus confused a matter that should have been taken more seriously at hand from the very beginning yet democracy doesn't seem the place where these processes can happen so deterministic a manner. If on one hand social media has fomented the Arabic spring suddenly removing old dictators creating terrible power vacuums, so the global police, the American army has also created a power vacuum when it decided under the Obama administration not to intervene in especially the Syrian civil war.

Obama in the respect, the Afro-american democratic president has stood up to an ideal and to the pride of being awarded the Nobel prize for peace. Yet in his ideological stand he has created serious consequences. Could the hundred of thousands of civilians killed in the Syrian conflict be avoided if only he had stood up to his role of policing the Middle East? Would have Europe been spared from mass migration?

These are simplistic ways of seeing only one side of the problem. We can examine the failure or the (momentary?) step back of the European project from many other angles. The paradox comes however when we consider Europe as the absolute representative of democracy only forcing its citizens to accept its democratic values and beside that letting them live a most civil life. This has not being the case as the geo-political reshuffle of Europe from after the creation of the European Union have definitively concentrated power and money in only certain areas living other completely poor and at the mercy of now Germany.

In the 1960s and 70s it was in fact predicted by economists that to start the European union with the old national construction would have favoured Germany in particular. The prediction was most correct and the big political system at large has become most unfair with even most talents and resources from the poor peripheral countries all moving to the powerful German center. Is this the consolidation of a fourth reich? Rather than breaking Europe apart, knowing the issues that undermines them how do we go back to the Nietzsche idea of generating a united Europe?

I believe this can only occurs at the micro level and it is naturally occurring as we speak with loving relationships across the boarders with subtle exchanges and with any form

of expression and manifestation coming not from the rational thinking of economists but from the heart of people transcending borders and fighting the increasing rise of national identities and thus discrimination, sadly enough among Europeans themselves. We forget that more or less we already one big race, allemans, blends of patricians and slaves who only need to make an extra effort now to speak the same language and be able to communicate and exchange their local realities enriching one another forgetting of borders and nations.

Just ask yourself who you are. As a Stoic, ask yourself truly how long have you and your ancestors owned a land or stayed there. We are only here for a short period. I know that the fuss will never end but at least understand the recent and most remote history and the brutality that has come with it, all a very unnecessary brutality that in Nietzsche's terms maybe can only help numbed societies to bring some vitality back to its members. Yet there are so many other expedients to defeat our bourgeois boredom than war. Let's consider them and maybe yes why not turning really and fully Eastern and move on building temples of no religions just to have a challenge to elevate our life with?